



September 30, 2013

**VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL**

The Honorable Nikki R. Haley  
Office of the Governor  
State House  
P.O. Box 11369  
Columbia, SC 29211  
E-mail: [governor@govoepp.state.sc.us](mailto:governor@govoepp.state.sc.us)

**RE: Potential Liability for Misuse of Federal Grant Funds**

*The material provided herein is general information and should not be construed as legal advice. Receipt, review, and action taken independent of this correspondence is neither intended to create, nor does create, an attorney-client relationship between the recipient(s) of this correspondence and/or the South Carolina Office of the Governor, on the one hand, and Cause of Action, on the other. None of the information contained herein constitutes legal advice and must not be construed or substituted for the advice of qualified counsel within the appropriate jurisdiction with whom you may choose to consult regarding these or related matters.<sup>1</sup>*

Dear Governor Haley:

We write on behalf of Cause of Action, a non-profit, nonpartisan government accountability organization that uses investigative, legal and communications tools to educate the public on how government transparency and accountability protect economic opportunity for American taxpayers.

The purpose of this letter is to notify the South Carolina, as well as entities, contractors, subgrantees, subrecipients and subcontractors who are utilized in furtherance of federally-facilitated exchanges,<sup>2</sup> regarding potential liabilities that may apply if any of these entities or their agents misuse the taxpayer funds they receive.

---

<sup>1</sup> Please do not convey to Cause of Action any information you regard as confidential unless and until a formal lawyer-client relationship has been established, as any such information received will not be treated as confidential or privileged. Cause of Action provides this correspondence and the information contained herein solely as a convenience to South Carolina's Office of the Governor, Office of the Attorney General, Office of the Secretary of State, and any other South Carolina government offices or related affiliates.

<sup>2</sup> This would include any future Federal Grants for Navigators, in-person assisters, certified application counselors, and any Federal funding relating to the System for Electronic Rates and Form Filings (SERFF), Enroll UX2014 program and any application programming interface with the Federal Data Services Hub.

On November 15, 2012, you sent a letter to U.S. Health and Human Services (HHS) Secretary Kathleen Sebelius declaring that South Carolina would default to a federally-facilitated exchange.<sup>3</sup> In an effort to market and promote the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA), HHS has authorized navigator, assister, application counselor, and other consumer outreach programs (collectively, “Enrollment Assistance Programs”) with the stated goal of helping citizens enroll in exchange health plans.<sup>4</sup> On August 14, 2013, thirteen Attorneys General (AG) sent a letter to HHS Secretary Sebelius identifying the lack of programmatic safeguards necessary to protect consumers’ private healthcare data in these Enrollment Assistance Programs.<sup>5</sup> The AG’s letter specifically noted that consumers would “hand over all their individual data to a minimally screened and virtually unaccountable ‘counselor’” making consumer privacy a “catch-as-catch-can,” and that “without more protections, this is a privacy disaster waiting to happen.”<sup>6</sup> These concerns are heightened following a recent report from the HHS Office of Inspector General, which notes that the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) has missed multiple deadlines for analyzing security risks in the Federal Data Services Hub.<sup>7</sup> Most recently, on September 18, 2013, in the U.S. House of Representatives, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform issued a thorough and incisive Report, which concluded that unreasonably high risks of fraud and misinformation exist in the implementation of PPACA’s “outreach” and “consumer assistance” campaigns.<sup>8</sup> Simply put, PPACA’s Navigator and Assister programs have been mismanaged by HHS, such that consumer privacy is endangered and American taxpayers are unduly subjected to significant risk of fraud and theft.<sup>9</sup>

South Carolina received a \$1,000,000 State Planning Grant through the Center for Consumer Information and Insurance Oversight (CCIIO), a subdivision of HHS.<sup>10</sup> The Planning Grant was intended to assist South Carolina with initial planning and design activities related to

---

<sup>3</sup> Letter from Gov. Nikki Haley to Kathleen Sebelius, Sec’y, U.S. Dep’t of Health & Human Servs., 1-2 (Nov. 15, 2012), *available at*

<http://governor.sc.gov/Documents/Gov%20Nikki%20Haley%20Letter%20to%20HHS%20Secretary.pdf>; *see also* The Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation, *State Exchange Profiles: South Carolina* (Dec. 10, 2012) [hereinafter *State Exchange Profiles*], *available at* <http://kff.org/health-reform/state-profile/state-exchange-profiles-south-carolina/>.

<sup>4</sup> 45 C.F.R. § 155.205 (2012) (Consumer assistance tools and programs of an Exchange); 45 C.F.R. § 155.210 (2012) (Navigator program standards).

<sup>5</sup> Letter from Patrick Morrissey, Att’y Gen., State of W. Va., *et al*, to Kathleen Sebelius, Sec’y, U.S. Dep’t of Health & Human Servs. (Aug. 14, 2013) at 2, 5, *available at*

[https://www.oag.state.tx.us/newspubs/releases/2013/Letter\\_to\\_HHS\\_re\\_Data\\_Privacy\\_final\\_8\\_14\\_13\\_.pdf](https://www.oag.state.tx.us/newspubs/releases/2013/Letter_to_HHS_re_Data_Privacy_final_8_14_13_.pdf).

<sup>6</sup> *Id.*, at 2, 5.

<sup>7</sup> Letter from Sen. Mitch McConnell, Ranking Member, U.S. Sen., to Marilyn Tavenner, Adm’r, Ctrs. for Medicare & Medicaid Servs. (Aug. 12, 2013), *available at*

<http://cnsnews.com/sites/default/files/documents/McConnell%20letter%20to%20CMS.pdf>.

<sup>8</sup> U.S. House of Rep., Comm. on Oversight & Gov’t Reform,

Preliminary Staff Report, Risks of Fraud and Misinformation with ObamaCare Outreach Campaign: How Navigator and Assister Program Mismanagement Endangers Consumers (Sept. 18, 2013), *available at* <http://oversight.house.gov/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/Republican-Staff-Report-on-Navigators.pdf>.

<sup>9</sup> *Id.*

<sup>10</sup> U.S. Dep’t of Health & Human Servs., Ctrs. for Medicare & Medicaid Servs., Ctr. for Consumer Info. & Ins. Oversight, *South Carolina Affordable Insurance Exchange Grants Awards List*, [hereinafter *South Carolina Grants List*], *available at* <http://www.cms.gov/ccio/Resources/Marketplace-Grants/sc.html> (last visited Sept. 27, 2013); *see also* Annie L. Mach and C. Stephen Redhead, *Status of Federal Funding for State Implementation of Health Insurance Exchanges*, Congressional Research Service (June 19, 2013), at 6, *available at* <http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/R43066.pdf>.

implementing a state exchange,<sup>11</sup> including, but not limited to, determining the feasibility of establishing an exchange in the state.<sup>12</sup> On or about July 1, 2011, you stated that South Carolina would not seek any further grant money to establish a state-based exchange.<sup>13</sup> On August 15, 2013, three entities in South Carolina received Navigator Grants<sup>14</sup> from CMS totaling \$1,953,615.21.<sup>15</sup> Entities in South Carolina also received nineteen awards from HHS's Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) totaling \$2,384,833 for health center outreach and enrollment assistance under the PPACA.<sup>16</sup> In light of these allocated funds provided by HHS, Cause of Action writes to identify potential liability pitfalls South Carolina may face when an individual or entity connected to a federally-facilitated exchange makes fraudulent claims.

### **Compliance Risks Associated with the Funding Opportunity Terms**

Any entity receiving Grant Funds through CCIIO is restricted in its use of the funds. As CCIIO's Funding Opportunity Announcement for State Planning Grants states, Grant Funds may not be used, for example, toward any other Federal program requirements, to cover certain executive compensation, or to cover costs associated with providing direct services to individuals.<sup>17</sup> States receiving CCIIO Grant Funds are also prohibited from using such funds to improve information technology systems or processes solely related to Medicaid or the Children's Health Insurance Program, or any other state or Federal program's eligibility for such programs.<sup>18</sup>

The State of South Carolina and entities within the state which are awarded Navigator Grants and/or HRSA PPACA Enrollment Grants will also be subject to other Federal restrictions. Neither the Navigator, HRSA Grant Funds nor the State Planning Grant Funds may be used to cover pre-award costs, to match other Federal Funds, to carry out services that are the responsibility of the South Carolina federally-facilitated exchange, or to influence the enactment of legislation, appropriations, regulation, administrative action, or Executive order proposed or pending before any Federal, state or local legislature or legislative body.<sup>19</sup> The numerous

---

<sup>11</sup> U.S. Dep't of Health & Human Servs., Ctrs. for Medicare & Medicaid Servs., Ctr. for Consumer Info. & Ins. Oversight, *State Planning & Establishment Grants for the Affordable Care Act's Exchanges* (July 29, 2010) [hereinafter *State Planning Grant Funding Announcement*], available at [http://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Resources/Funding-Opportunities/Downloads/exchange\\_planning\\_grant\\_foa.pdf](http://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Resources/Funding-Opportunities/Downloads/exchange_planning_grant_foa.pdf); see also *South Carolina Grants List*, *supra* note 10.

<sup>12</sup> See *South Carolina Grants List*, *supra* note 10.

<sup>13</sup> Stephen Largen, *Haley to shun federal funds for state health insurance exchange*, GoUpstate.com 1-4 (July 1, 2011), available at <http://www.goupstate.com/article/20110701/ARTICLES/110709990?p=4&tc=pg> (last visited Sept. 30, 2013).

<sup>14</sup> Under 45 C.F.R. § 155.210, each exchange must establish a Navigator program to assist people with healthcare enrollment. State agencies may serve as Navigators. 45 C.F.R. § 155.210(c).

<sup>15</sup> U.S. Dep't of Health & Human Servs., Ctrs. For Medicare & Medicaid Servs., *Navigator Grant Recipients*, 1, 21-22 (Aug. 15, 2013), available at <http://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Programs-and-Initiatives/Health-Insurance-Marketplaces/Downloads/navigator-list-8-15-2013.pdf>; see also Appendix A.

<sup>16</sup> U.S. Dep't of Health & Human Servs., Health Res. & Servs. Admin., *South Carolina: Health Center Outreach & Enrollment Assistance*, available at <http://www.hrsa.gov/about/news/2013tables/outreachandenrollment/sc.html> (last visited Sept. 27, 2013); see also Appendix A.

<sup>17</sup> *State Planning Grant Funding Announcement*, *supra* note 11, at 12, 17.

<sup>18</sup> *State Planning Grant Funding Announcement*, *supra* note 11, at 12, 17; see also 2 C.F.R. pt. 225 (2013) regarding cost allocations.

<sup>19</sup> This list is not exhaustive. See also *State Planning Grant Funding Announcement*, *supra* note 11, at 12, 17.

restrictions HHS and CCIIO have placed on the use of Grant Funds may subject South Carolina and its exchange contractors, subcontractors, subgrantees and subrecipients to a significant risk of liability under the False Claims Act, and subject South Carolina to sanctions imposed by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services and/or CCIIO, such as restrictions on the use of funds and/or termination of the awards.<sup>20</sup> In the event material misrepresentations are made, criminal charges and fines may also be imposed under 18 U.S.C. § 1001 (2012). If HHS fails to specifically articulate applicable privacy and security requirements for monitoring Enrollment Assistance Programs, there may be considerable uncertainty as to who could be liable if an Enrollment Assistance Program harms a consumer.<sup>21</sup>

### **Compliance with the Byrd Anti-Lobbying Amendment**

Section 1352 of Title 31 of the United States Code, the Byrd Anti-Lobbying Amendment, expressly prohibits recipients “of a Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement” from using appropriated funds to “influenc[e] or attempt[] to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress” in connection with specified “Federal action[s].”<sup>22</sup> In response to a Senate inquiry concerning HHS Grant Funding under the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s Communities Putting Prevention to Work program, the U.S. Department of Justice stated “[t]he Department is committed to investigating all credible allegations of illegal lobbying activity, which strikes at the heart of the democratic process.”<sup>23</sup> To ensure that contractors, subcontractors, subgrantees, and subrecipients comply with Federal law, South Carolina bears responsibility for preventing any appropriated Federal Funds from being used for any form of lobbying—direct or grassroots—regarding pending legislation.<sup>24</sup>

### **Compliance with OMB Circular A-133’s Audit Requirements**

Any recipient or subrecipient of \$500,000 or more in Federal awards during a single fiscal year must comply with the audit requirements of the Office of Management and Budget’s (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations*.<sup>25</sup> The Federal award recipient(s) must identify all Federal awards it receives and expends, establish and maintain internal controls to ensure it is managing the Federal award programs in compliance with all applicable laws, prepare regular financial statements, ensure audits are

---

<sup>20</sup> U.S. GOV’T ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE, GAO-13-543, PATIENT PROTECTION AND AFFORDABLE CARE ACT—HHS’S PROCESS FOR AWARDED AND OVERSEEING EXCHANGE AND RATE REVIEW GRANTS TO STATES (May 31, 2013), *available at* <http://www.gao.gov/assets/660/654994.pdf>.

<sup>21</sup> See Letter from Patrick Morrissey, *supra* note 5, at 6.

<sup>22</sup> 31 U.S.C. § 1352 (2012).

<sup>23</sup> Letter from Peter J. Kadzik, Principal Deputy Assistant Att’y Gen., U.S. Dep’t of Justice, to Sen. Patrick Leahy, Chairman, S. Comm. on the Judiciary (May 7, 2013), 1, 57, *available at* <http://www.judiciary.senate.gov/resources/transcripts/upload/061212QFRs-Holder.pdf>.

<sup>24</sup> 18 U.S.C. § 1913 (2012).

<sup>25</sup> The Single Audit Act, as amended, applies to non-Federal governmental units, including subrecipients, which receive Federal awards of \$500,000 or more. See 31 U.S.C. § 7502 (2012); OFFICE OF MGMT. & BUDGET, EXEC. OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, OMB CIRCULAR No. A-133, AUDITS OF STATES, LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, AND NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS at Subpart B, §§ 200(a), 210(a) (June 26, 2007) [hereinafter OMB CIRCULAR A-133], *available at* [http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/assets/a133/a133\\_revised\\_2007.pdf](http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/assets/a133/a133_revised_2007.pdf).

conducted at the required intervals, and take corrective action on any audit findings.<sup>26</sup> Any Federal award recipient who contracts with a for-profit subrecipient is further responsible for the for-profit subrecipient's compliance.<sup>27</sup> Such contract must describe the applicable compliance requirements and the for-profit subrecipient's compliance obligations.<sup>28</sup>

The Federal award recipient must select an auditor to conduct the audit.<sup>29</sup> Upon assessing the Federal award recipient's financial statements, internal controls, and compliance, the auditor will issue a report describing its findings.<sup>30</sup> The Federal award recipient is then responsible "for follow-up and corrective action on all audit findings," and must prepare a corrective action plan to address any problems identified by the audit.<sup>31</sup> Finally, the Federal award recipient must submit to the OMB's Federal clearinghouse a reporting package that includes its financial statements, schedule of expenditures of Federal awards, corrective action plan, and the auditor's reports.<sup>32</sup> Because South Carolina received at least \$500,000 in Grant Funds, it must adhere to these requirements. Failure to comply with OMB Circular A-133 may result in suspension of Federal funding and may affect eligibility for future funding.<sup>33</sup>

#### **Compliance with OMB Circular A-87's Cost Principles**

State and local entities that receive Federal funding must allocate their expenditures in accordance with OMB Circular A-87, *Costs Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments*.<sup>34</sup> This includes adequately documenting all costs associated with administering the Grant Funds.<sup>35</sup> Recipients must submit a Certificate of Cost Allocation Plan<sup>36</sup> and a Certificate of Indirect Costs,<sup>37</sup> certifying they are in conformance with this OMB Circular.<sup>38</sup> If the recipient submits a false certification, it may be subject for treble damage liability under the False Claims Act. Also, any Federal award recipient that contracts with a commercial organization is subject to the cost principles and procedures of 48 C.F.R. part 31.2. Like OMB Circular A-87, these regulations dictate how a Federal award recipient is allowed to expend funds when contracting with a commercial organization. Specifically, any state that has received Federal Grant Funds to establish an exchange may be subject to this regulation if it has contracted with commercial organizations.

South Carolina's federally-facilitated health insurance exchange is not currently subject to this OMB Circular. However, to the extent South Carolina elects in the future to transition to a

---

<sup>26</sup> OMB CIRCULAR A-133, *supra* note 25, § 300.

<sup>27</sup> *Id.* § 210(e).

<sup>28</sup> *Id.*

<sup>29</sup> *Id.* §§ 105, 200, 500.

<sup>30</sup> *Id.* §§ 500, 505, 510.

<sup>31</sup> *Id.* § 315.

<sup>32</sup> 31 U.S.C. § 7502(h) (2012); OMB CIRCULAR A-133, *supra* note 25, § 320(d).

<sup>33</sup> 31 U.S.C. §§ 7501 (2012) *et seq.*

<sup>34</sup> OFFICE OF MGMT. & BUDGET, EXEC. OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, OMB CIRCULAR NO. A-87, COST PRINCIPLES FOR STATE, LOCAL, AND INDIAN TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS at 5 (Attachment A, ¶ A(3) (Application)) (May 10, 2004) [hereinafter OMB CIRCULAR A-87], available at [http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars\\_a087\\_2004](http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars_a087_2004).

<sup>35</sup> OMB Circular A-87, *supra* note 34, at 9 (Attachment A, ¶ C(1) (Basic Guidelines)).

<sup>36</sup> *Id.* at 45 (Attachment C).

<sup>37</sup> *Id.* at 56 (Attachment E).

<sup>38</sup> *Id.* at 12 (Attachment A).

state-based or state-federal partnership exchange, all Federal Funds granted to the state for that purpose will be subject to OMB Circular A-87. Furthermore, because South Carolina received (and has not returned) Federal Grant Funds under a State Planning Grant, it may be subject to the cost allocation principles and procedures of 48 C.F.R. part 31.2. Violation of these requirements may subject the State of South Carolina to penalties under 48 C.F.R. § 52.242-3.

### **False Claims Act, Corporate Governance, and Internal Best Practices**

Providing false information to the Federal government is strictly illegal under the Federal False Claims Act.<sup>39</sup> All persons are forbidden from knowingly or willfully falsifying or concealing a material fact, making materially false or fraudulent representations, or using any false writings or documents known to be materially fictitious.<sup>40</sup> Federal law similarly bars anyone from knowingly presenting false claims to any Federal government representative.<sup>41</sup> The Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act of 2009 (FERA)<sup>42</sup> substantively amended the False Claims Act in the following areas: (1) FERA expanded the scope of the False Claims Act in re-defining a “claim”;<sup>43</sup> (2) FERA eliminated the False Claims Act’s “presentation” requirements;<sup>44</sup> (3) the False Claims Act’s intent requirement was eliminated, such that all that is necessary for liability to attach is that such a statement has a “natural tendency to influence, or is capable of influencing the payment or receipt of money or property.”<sup>45</sup> The False Claims Act’s conspiracy provisions have also been expanded to include conspiracies to violate other provisions of the False Claims Act.<sup>46</sup> The FERA amendments also establish an express “materiality” requirement,<sup>47</sup> as well as “reverse false claims” and overpayment “retention” liability.<sup>48</sup> Additionally, South Carolina’s subcontractors may face relator claims under the False Claims Act if the subcontractor misuses Federal Funds. Liability for violations under the False Claims Act starts at \$5,500 per occurrence, plus treble damages.<sup>49</sup> South Carolina should also evaluate its state False Claims Act law(s), if applicable.

States must also institute procedures to promote compliance with the financial integrity provisions of section 1313 of the PPACA, including requirements related to accounting, reporting, auditing, cooperating with investigators, and adhering to the False Claims Act.<sup>50</sup> A comprehensive strategy to combat fraud will hedge against False Claims Act liabilities and ensure maximal compliance with other laws and regulations.

---

<sup>39</sup> 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729- 33 (2012).

<sup>40</sup> 18 U.S.C. § 1001 (2012).

<sup>41</sup> 18 U.S.C. § 287 (2012).

<sup>42</sup> Pub. L. 111-21, S. 386, 123 Stat. 1616 (2009).

<sup>43</sup> 31 U.S.C. § 3729(b)(2) (2012).

<sup>44</sup> *Id.*

<sup>45</sup> *Id.* at § 3729(b)(4).

<sup>46</sup> *Id.* at § 3729(b)(4); §§ 3729(a)(1)(C) and (b)(1-4).

<sup>47</sup> *Id.* at § 3729(a)(1)(C); *see supra*, note 45.

<sup>48</sup> 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(7); § 3729(b)(3); 73 Fed. Reg. 67,064, 67,091 (Nov. 12, 2008) (amending 48 C.F.R. § 9-406-2(b)(1)(vi)(C); 42 U.S.C. 1320a-7b(a)(3).

<sup>49</sup> 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a) (2012).

<sup>50</sup> *State Planning Grant Funding Announcement, supra* note 11, at 12, 17.

Absent a comprehensive strategy to combat fraud as required by PPACA § 1313, South Carolina may face liability of its own and be subject to significant risk of reimbursing subcontractors for unlawful activity who may also face relator claims under the False Claims Act if Federal Funds are misused.<sup>51</sup> Given the significant taxpayer funds involved and the wide-ranging functions and responsibilities of South Carolina as a federally-facilitated exchange, it is increasingly plausible that health insurers and subcontractors may misrepresent their credentials and that there will be ample opportunity for vigilant whistleblowers to file False Claims Act *qui tam* suits in response.

In conclusion, you may wish to evaluate whether South Carolina, its contractors, subcontractors, subgrantees and subrecipients have the oversight capability to ensure that Federal Funds are used in a transparent, accountable, and legally compliant manner. You must promptly refer to the HHS Office of Inspector General any credible evidence that a principal, employee, agent, contractor, subrecipient, subcontractor or other person or entity has submitted a false claim under the False Claims Act, or has committed a criminal or civil violation pertaining to fraud, conflict of interest, bribery, gratuity, or similar misconduct involving those funds. The HHS Office of Inspector General can be reached at <http://www.oig.hhs.gov/fraud/hotline>.

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact me at 202-499-4232.

Sincerely,



DANIEL Z. EPSTEIN  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

cc: Hon. Patrick Leahy, Chairman, Senate Committee on the Judiciary  
Hon. Charles Grassley, Ranking Member, Senate Committee on the Judiciary  
Hon. Orrin Hatch, Ranking Member, Senate Committee on Finance  
Hon. Tom Harkin, Chairman, Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor and Pensions  
Hon. Lamar Alexander, Ranking Member, Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions  
Hon. Johnny Isakson, Vice Chairman, Senate Select Committee on Ethics  
Hon. Darrell Issa, Chairman, House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform  
Hon. Fred Upton, Chairman, House Committee on Energy and Commerce  
Hon. Elijah Cummings, Ranking Member, House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform  
Hon. Roy Blunt, Ranking Member, Senate Subcommittee on Agriculture, Rural Development, Food & Drug Administration, Senate Committee on Appropriations  
Hon. Michael Enzi, Ranking Member, Senate Subcommittee on Children and Families, Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor and Pensions  
Hon. Kelly Ayotte, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs  
Kathleen Sebelius, Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

---

<sup>51</sup> 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-33 (2012).

Hon. Lindsey Graham, Senate Committee on Appropriations,  
Ranking Member, Subcommittee on State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs;  
Senate Committee on Armed Services, Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Personnel;  
Senate Committee on the Judiciary, Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Crime and  
Terrorism

Hon. Tim Scott, Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, Ranking  
Member, Subcommittee on Competitiveness, Innovation, and Export Promotion

Hon. Mark Sanford

Hon. Joe Wilson, House Committee on Armed Services, Chairman, Subcommittee on  
Military Personnel

Hon. Trey Gowdy, House Committee on the Judiciary, Chairman, Subcommittee on  
Immigration and Border Security

Hon. Jeff Duncan, House Committee on Homeland Security, Chairman, Subcommittee  
on Oversight and Management Efficiency

Hon. Mick Mulvaney

Hon. Tom Rice, House Committee on Small Business, Chairman, Subcommittee on  
Economic Growth, Tax and Capital Access

Daniel Levinson, Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
Peter Kadzik, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legislative Affairs,  
U.S. Department of Justice

Hon. Alan Wilson, Attorney General, State of South Carolina (via First Class Mail  
and E-mail: [info@scattorneygeneral.com](mailto:info@scattorneygeneral.com))

Hon. Mark Hammond, Secretary of State, State of South Carolina (via First Class Mail  
and E-mail: [rdaggerhart@sos.sc.gov](mailto:rdaggerhart@sos.sc.gov))

Hon. Raymond G. Farmer, Director, South Carolina Department of Insurance (via First  
Class Mail and E-mail: [info@doi.sc.gov](mailto:info@doi.sc.gov))



## Appendix A

### I. South Carolina Navigator Grant Recipients.

**Three awards totaling \$1,953,615.21:**

- **DECO Recovery Management LLC**  
Grant amount: \$1,211,203
- **The Cooperative Ministry**  
Grant amount: \$508,313
- **Beaufort County Black Chamber of Commerce**  
Grant amount: \$234,099.21

### II. South Carolina HRSA Grant Recipients.

**Nineteen awards totaling \$2,384,833:**

Health Center	City	Award Amount
BEAUFORT-JASPER-HAMPTON COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH SERVICES, INCORPORATED	Ridgeland	\$144,514
CARE SOUTH CAROLINA INC	Hartsville	\$208,457
CAROLINA HEALTH CENTERS, INC.	Greenwood	\$125,142
COMMUNITY MEDICINE FOUNDATION, INC.	Rock Hill	\$98,435
EAU CLAIRE COOPERATIVE HEALTH CENTER	Columbia	\$194,718
FAMILY HEALTH CENTERS, INC.	Orangeburg	\$124,571
FOOTHILLS COMMUNITY HEALTH CARE, INC.	Clemson	\$59,000
FRANKLIN C. FETTER FAMILY HEALTH CENTER, INC.	Charleston	\$167,009
HEALTH CARE PARTNERS OF SOUTH CAROLINA, INC.	Conway	\$83,805
HOPEHEALTH, INC.	Florence	\$155,899
LITTLE RIVER MEDICAL CENTER, INC.	Little River	\$185,951
LOW COUNTRY HEALTH CARE SYSTEM, INC.	Fairfax	\$99,040
NEW HORIZON FAMILY HEALTH SERVICES, INC.	Greenville	\$184,741
REGENESIS ORGANIZATION COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTER	Spartanburg	\$98,556
RURAL HEALTH SERVICES INC	Clearwater	\$100,613
SANDHILLS MEDICAL FOUNDATION	McBee	\$88,062
SOUTH CAROLINA PRIMARY HEALTH CARE ASSOCIATION	Columbia	\$76,061

ST. JAMES SANTEE FAMILY HEALTH CENTER, INC.	McClellanville	\$90,031
SUMTER FAMILY HEALTH CENTER	Sumter	\$100,228