Microsoft Outlook

From: Cleeland, Nancy
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 6:09 PM
To: Farrell, Ellen; Solomon, Lafe E.; Kearney, Barry J.; Garza, Jose; Ahearn, Richard L.; Wagner, Anthony R.
Subject: RE: time to decide

Thank you all for your help – I think there's a piece of everyone in this statement. I went ahead and used my best judgment and sent this in my name. Hope it's not a firing offense!

The complaint has nothing to do with state right-to-work laws, which are expressly permitted by the National Labor Relations Act. Rather it alleges that Boeing decided to locate a particular line of work in a non-union facility to retaliate against union employees who had engaged in legally-protected strike activity. The result would have been the same had the line been moved to a non-union facility in any location. Furthermore, the complaint does not seek to close Boeing's South Carolina facility or to prohibit Boeing from making a non-discriminatory decision to do work in that state. Both parties will have an opportunity to present evidence and arguments at a hearing scheduled before an NLRB administrative law judge in June, which is the appropriate time to address the merits of the complaint.

Nancy Cleeland
NLRB Director of Public Affairs
(202) 273-0222
nancy.cleeland@nlrb.gov

From: Farrell, Ellen
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 6:05 PM
To: Cleeland, Nancy; Solomon, Lafe E.; Kearney, Barry J.; Garza, Jose; Ahearn, Richard L.
Subject: RE: time to decide

Exemption 5

Ellen

Ellen Farrell
Deputy Associate General Counsel
Division of Advice, NLRB
202-273-3810
Ellen.Farrell@nlrb.gov

From: Farrell, Ellen
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 6:01 PM
To: Cleeland, Nancy; Solomon, Lafe E.; Kearney, Barry J.; Garza, Jose; Ahearn, Richard L.
Subject: RE: time to decide

Exemption 5

Ellen

Ellen Farrell
Deputy Associate General Counsel
Division of Advice, NLRB
202-273-3810
Ellen.Farrell@nlrb.gov

From: Cleeland, Nancy
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 5:59 PM
To: Solomon, Lafe E.; Kearney, Barry J.; Garza, Jose; Ahearn, Richard L.; Farrell, Ellen
Subject: time to decide
Importance: High

Exemption 5

The complaint has nothing to do with state right-to-work laws, which ban certain types of employer-union agreements and are expressly permitted by the National Labor Relations Act. Rather it alleges that Boeing decided to locate a particular line of work in a non-union facility to retaliate against union employees who had engaged in legally-protected strike activity. The result would have been the same had the line been moved to a non-union facility in any location. Furthermore, the complaint does not seek to close Boeing's South Carolina facility or to prohibit Boeing from making a non-discriminatory decision to do work in that state. Both parties will have an opportunity to present evidence and arguments at a hearing scheduled before an NLRB administrative law judge in June, which is the appropriate time to address the merits of the complaint.

Exemption 5

Nancy Cleeland
NLRB Director of Public Affairs
(202) 273-0222
nancy.cleeland@nlrb.gov

NLRB-FOIA-U00001443