Microsoft Outlook

From: Farrell, Ellen
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 6:05 PM
To: Cleeland, Nancy; Solomon, Lafe E.; Kearney, Barry J.; Garza, Jose; Ahearn, Richard L.
Subject: RE: time to decide

Exemption 5

Ellen

Ellen Farrell
Deputy Associate General Counsel
Division of Advice, NLRB
202-273-3810
Ellen.Farrell@nlrb.gov

From: Farrell, Ellen
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 6:01 PM
To: Cleeland, Nancy; Solomon, Lafe E.; Kearney, Barry J.; Garza, Jose; Ahearn, Richard L.
Subject: RE: time to decide

Exemption 5

Ellen

Ellen Farrell
Deputy Associate General Counsel
Division of Advice, NLRB
202-273-3810
Ellen.Farrell@nlrb.gov

From: Cleeland, Nancy
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 5:59 PM
To: Solomon, Lafe E.; Kearney, Barry J.; Garza, Jose; Ahearn, Richard L.; Farrell, Ellen
Subject: time to decide
Importance: High

Exemption 5

The complaint has nothing to do with state right-to-work laws, which ban certain types of employer-union agreements and are expressly permitted by the National Labor Relations Act. Rather it alleges that Boeing decided to locate a particular line of work in a non-union facility to retaliate against union employees who had engaged in legally-protected strike activity. The result would have been the same had the line been moved to a non-union facility in any location. Furthermore, the complaint does not seek to close Boeing's South Carolina facility or to prohibit Boeing from making a non-discriminatory decision to do work in that state. Both parties will have an opportunity to present evidence and arguments at a hearing scheduled before an NLRB administrative law judge in June, which is the appropriate time to address the merits of the complaint.

Exemption 5

Nancy Cleeland
NLRB Director of Public Affairs
(202) 273-0222
nancy.cleeland@nlrb.gov