Microsoft Outlook

From: Ahearn, Richard L.
Sent: Tuesday, April 26, 2011 10:17 PM
To: Pomerantz, Anne; Anzalone, Mara-Louise; Finch, Peter G.; Jablonski, Colleen G.; Todd, Dianne
Subject: Fw: =?ANSI_X3.4-1968?Q?WashPost:_The_answer_to_Boeing=3Fs_labor_dispute?=

Perhaps the most thoughtful analysis.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: noreply@washingtonpost.com <noreply@washingtonpost.com>
To: Ahearn, Richard L.
Sent: Tue Apr 26 22:14:01 2011
Subject: =?ANSI_X3.4-1968?Q?WashPost:_The_answer_to_Boeing=3Fs_labor_dispute?=

The Washington Post E-mail Sponsored by Constant Contact

This page was sent to you by: Exemption 6
Message from sender:

The answer to Boeing's labor dispute

By

For high-stakes legal drama, it doesn't get much bigger than last week's filing by the National Labor Relations Board charging that Boeing's decision to open a big new production facility in union-phobic South Carolina was motivated by a desire to punish and intimidate the strike-prone union at its long-established plant in Seattle.

Advertisement

Do you love D.C.? Get the insider's guide to where to stay, what to do and where to eat. Go to www.washingtonpost.com/gog for your guide to D.C. now.
© 2010 The Washington Post Company | Privacy Policy