

## Microsoft Outlook

*Not responsive*

---

**From:** Cleeland, Nancy  
**Sent:** Monday, May 16, 2011 12:41 PM  
**To:** Solomon, Lafe E.  
**Subject:** bill gould in a chicago tribune column

William Gould, a [Stanford University](#) law professor who was appointed to head the NLRB by President Bill Clinton, has his doubts about this complaint. "It's perfectly reasonable for a company to want to avoid strikes," he told me.

In his view, the board's general counsel "is wrong when he says the company can't divert work from a union facility when it can't get a no-strike clause, so long as it offers some other means to resolve differences. I don't infer from that anti-union animus, which is prohibited."

[http://articles.chicagotribune.com/2011-05-15/news/ct-oped-0515-chapman-20110515\\_1\\_machinists-strike-boeing-executive](http://articles.chicagotribune.com/2011-05-15/news/ct-oped-0515-chapman-20110515_1_machinists-strike-boeing-executive)

outrageous, if he really said it!

*Nancy Cleeland*  
*NLRB Director of Public Affairs*  
(202) 273-0222  
[nancy.cleeland@nrlrb.gov](mailto:nancy.cleeland@nrlrb.gov)